



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,422	10/02/2003	Daniela T. Bratescu	15071US02	8452
23446 7	7590 03/23/2006	EXAMINER		INER
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
SUITE 3400	ADISON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	L 60661		1616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/677,422	BRATESCU ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Alton N. Pryor	1616
The MAILIN Period for Reply	IG DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED S WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE to available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. Specified above, the maximum statutory period vorce set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office tater than three months after the mailing ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ This action i 3) ☐ Since this a	to communication(s) filed on <u>02 O</u> s <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This oplication is in condition for allowar cordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claim	S		
4a) Of the at 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u>	2 is/are pending in the application.  bove claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to.  2 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
10) The drawing Applicant ma Replacement	ation is objected to by the Examine (s) filed on is/are: a) according a request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119		
a) All b) 1. Certifi 2. Certifi 3. Copie applic	ment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority documents ed copies of the priority documents s of the certified copies of the prior ation from the International Bureau ned detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References	Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) D Notice of Draftsperso	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

This application contains claims 1-22 directed to the following patentably distinct species: Inventions comprising a surfactant blends comprising an antimicrobial compound, an anionic surfactant, a bridging surfactant, and optionally cationic surfactant. The species are independent or distinct because compositions comprising surfactant blends comprising an antimicrobial compound, an anionic surfactant, a bridging surfactant, and optionally cationic surfactant have separate classification in the art.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, inventions comprising compositions comprising surfactant blends comprising an antimicrobial compound, an anionic surfactant, a bridging surfactant, and optionally cationic surfactant are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/677,422

Art Unit: 1616

A telephone call was made to Attorney Groctken on 3/20/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention (Examiner is requesting that applicant elect a specific and completely define an antimicrobial compound, an anionic surfactant, a bridging surfactant, and optionally cationic surfactant for the invention. Examiner is also requesting that applicant specifically name and completely define all additional ingredients desired. Claims having ingredients not elected by the applicant will be withdrawn from consideration.) to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616